Knowledge of Technology Poultry Production and Entrepreneurship For Development of Indigenous Chicken Farms in Indonesia

Palmarudi Mappigau ^{1*},

Muhammad Yunus Amar ²,

¹ Department of Social Economics, Faculty of Animal Sciences, University of Hasanuddin, Makassar, Indonesia

² Department of Management, Faculty of Business and Economics, University of Hasanuddin, Makassar, Indonesia

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

ISSN: 2723-1097

Keywords:

Knowledge management, technology poultry production, entrepreneurship, indigenous chicken, farms development.

The objective of this study is to analyze the knowledge of technology poultry production and entrepreneurship for the development of indigenous chicken farms. The research location Sidrap and Bone regencies, South Sulawesi Province, Indonesia. The data collected through direct observation and structured interviews with 96 indigenous chicken farmers. The data analyzed by descriptive statistics and Spearman's Rank correlation. The results showed that the development of indigenous chicken farms was decreasing and not increasing in the number of chickens, the knowledge of farmer respondents in technology poultry production and entrepreneurship was categorized as inadequate. The results show that in the technology poultry production, knowledge of suitable feed, and control and prevention of disease have a positive and significant relationship with the development of indigenous chicken farms. In entrepreneurial knowledge, knowledge of results-oriented and opportunity-seeking have a positive and significant relationship with the development of indigenous chicken farms. The conclusion, the technology poultry production, and entrepreneurship knowledge have an important role in the development of indigenous chicken farms

Introduction

The total population of Indonesia based on the latest Susenas data for 2017 reached 237,641,326 people or as many as 62,537,192 households, and as many as 31,400. 282 households (50.21 percent) reside in rural areas, of which 10.70 percent are poor (Central Bureau of Statistics, 2018). To alleviate the rural poor, the government continues to promote poverty alleviation programs which are divided into three groups, namely family-based social assistance programs, poverty alleviation programs based on community empowerment and poverty alleviation programs based on the empowerment of small and micro-businesses. However, the poverty

alleviation program still faces various obstacles, including programs that do not focus on the most important assets of rural communities (Madjid, 2017).

One important asset owned by rural communities in Indonesia is indigenous chicken because almost every rural household rearers the chicken, which is operated as a backyard farming and on a very small scale of farms (Pramudyati, 2009; van Eekeren et al., 2006). This indigenous chicken farms have been recognized as an important tool to accelerate the pace of poverty reduction and be able to reach the poorest people in rural areas and improve food security and nutrition in poor households by increasing meat consumption and as a source of high-quality protein (McLeod, 2007; Suryana and Hasbianto, 2009; Thieme et al., 2014).

The contribution of an indigenous chicken farm in Indonesia to alleviate poverty in the rural area is not optimal because of lower productivity, even though it has great potential to develop, especially in production center areas such as South Sulawesi (Hidayat and Asmarasari, 2015) due to the large population and genetic resources of the indigenous chickens. According to data from the agriculture ministry, South Sulawesi has the third-largest population of indigenous chickens in Indonesia after Central Java and East Java with a population reaching 29, 243 million in 2016. Besides its great potential, the indigenous chicken farm also has good prospects for developing, because it has market opportunities, market demand cannot be met by existing supply, and market demand is expected to continue to increase with increasing consumer purchasing power and strong consumer preferences for the freshness and flavor typical of indigenous chicken eggs and meat. Likewise, there have been many efforts made by the government to encourage the development of indigenous chicken farming, such as the existence of the INTAB program, JPS, assistance programs from SOEs, breeding programs, and avian influenza disease prevention programs and others. However, in reality, these indigenous chicken farms do not develop, they operate on a very small scale and not encouraged to expand to a larger farm scale. The implication is that profitability and household income from the indigenous chicken farm are low, and therefore,

the contribution of the farm to poverty alleviation in rural areas is also not optimal (Putri et al., 2014; Ilham, 2016).

The very slow development of indigenous chicken farms in rural areas is not only happening in Indonesia, but also in many developing countries such as Kenya (Munyaka, 2010), Swaziland (Siyaya and Mikah, 2013); Nigeria (Chah et al., 2014), and the Philippines (Chang, 2007). Because of these conditions, practitioners and researchers since a decade ago, are very concerned about the future of indigenous chicken farms as trade liberalization and rapid changes in the structure of the poultry industry occur because the business scale is very small, relatively inefficient, and will not be able to face increasingly fierce competition from overseas poultry products and from domestic poultry products (Ahuja and Sen, 2007; Narrod et al., 2008; Mcleod et al., 2009).

The findings from various studies show that the main obstacle to develop indigenous chicken farms is due to the lack of knowledge of farmers in keeping the indigenous chicken farms has so far only been managed based on local/traditional knowledge (Muhiye, 2007; Adetayo et al., 2013; Rahman et al., 2015). This clearly illustrates that increasing the human resource of farmers, especially in the aspect of knowledge must be the right solution to be able to encourage the development of indigenous chicken farms in rural areas (Adetayo et al., 2013). Moreover, previous studies of small scale businesses have shown that businesses have limited knowledge which is a very important asset in determining their survival and development (Pozdnakova, 2012; Jani, 2011; Juárez et al., 2016). Several pieces of research were done to describe the technology poultry production practices (Lopez Jr. et al., 2014) and entrepreneurship business (Inyang and Eko, 2015) undertaken by indigenous chicken farmers in different parts of the country. However, no research has been conducted on the knowledge of technology poultry production and entrepreneurship of native chickens farms. On that basis, the objective of this study is to analysis knowledge technology poultry production and entrepreneurship for the development of indigenous chicken farms in Indonesia

The studies above, lead us to propose the hypotheses : knowledge technology poultry production and entrepreneurship have significantly correlated with the development of indigenous chicken farms in Indonesia

Methods

Because the main problem in this study was the problem of the influence of the variable type of knowledge on the development of indigenous chicken farms, the research strategy chosen is quantitative research. According to Amaratunga et al. (2002) quantitative research is associated with a positivistic approach which states that truth can only be obtained through direct observation or experience. In this study, because the object of the research was the indigenous chicken farms, so the type of research to be used is the type of survey research (direct observation and interviews) The study carried out in South Sulawesi, namely in Bone and Sidrap Regencies from April to October 2019. The selection of these two districts as research locations was based on the consideration that these two regions represented the role of indigenous chicken farming in poverty alleviation, where Sidrap district was the district that has the smallest of indigenous chicken population and the lowest number of poor people, while Bone has the highest population of indigenous chicken and the largest number of poor people. From each district, two villages will be selected with the highest number of indigenous chicken populations and the lowest number of poor people and 2 villages with a small number of indigenous chicken populations and the largest number of poor people. The population in this study was the indigenous chicken, which is owned and managed by smallholder farmers in the districts of Sidrap and Bone, and the targeted farmers who had been engaged in native chickens farms activities with the number of chickens kept ranging from 3 -50 adult chickens. The number of the indigenous chicken farms determined as samples in this study uses the Lemeshow formula, this is because the total population is unknown or infinite. So if based on these formulas the minimum number of samples needed in this study is 96.04 or a minimum of 96 respondents. Of the 96 respondents, subsequently allocated proportionally according to the sample districts, 48 respondents for Bone District,

and 48 respondents for Sidrap District. This research used probability sampling (random sampling) designs (techniques) to obtain samples of farmers respondent Primary data collected by the survey method. The survey method used consisted of direct observation and interviews. The interview was conducted in a structured manner using the help of a questionnaire. This questionnaire contained a list of questions made in the form of open questions and multiple-choice questions. Before the questionnaire was used, it was first tested on 20 prospective farmers of respondents to be tested for reliability and validity.

The development of indigenous chicken farms was measured as the number of chickens reared by farmers for two years later. Respondent farmers were asked to indicate on three-point Likert type scale ranging from 1 = decrease, 2 = not increased, and 3 = increase. Knowledge in this study adopted by NwariejI et al. (2017), as it covers technology poultry production and entrepreneurial knowledge. Meanwhile, knowledge of technology poultry production was measured using four items. These items measured the extent technologies applied by farmers to improve the production of the indigenous chicken farms successfully, and it measured as genetic improvement and selection: local chicken selection to be done at every generation that production was not decreasing, among others avoid inbreeding is constantly. improved housing: the availability of housing and facilities are the condition main to deficient production levels local chicken, suitable feeding: local chicken fed enough and regular are necessary to allow for maximum production of meat and eggs, disease prevention and control: the majority of local chicken disease can be prevented with vaccination on time, began when chicken was 7 days and may continue to be sold every three months. Next, seven items measuring knowledge of entrepreneurship. These items measured the extent of entrepreneurship skill applied by farmers run the indigenous chicken farms successfully and is measured as the innovative and accept new ideas and information: I am innovative and accepting new ideas and information, decision-making: I have good decision-making skills to run my local chicken farming, independence and confidence: I have high independence and confident to run my

local chicken farming, leadership: I have good leadership skills to run my local chicken farming well, results-oriented: I work earnestly so that the results of my local chicken farming are satisfying, take risk: I have risk-taking to run my local chicken farming, opportunity-seeking: I was able to look for business opportunities for my local chicken farming development. Respondent was asked for both two knowledge to indicate on five-point Likert type scale ranging from 1 = strongly disagree to 5 = strongly agree Data analysis performed in this study, namely: descriptive statistical and Spearman's correlation coefficient analysis. Descriptive statistical analysis in this study used to categorize the development of indigenous chicken farms, entrepreneurship knowledge and technology production knowledge. Spearman's correlation coefficient analysis used to analyze the relationship between business entrepreneurial knowledge and technology of poultry production practice knowledge and development of indigenous chicken farms. Data processing using SPSS (Statistic Package of Social Sciences) version 21.

Results and Discussion

Development of the indigenous chicken farms

The success to develop a chicken production farms are judged by the quantity and quality of products sold (number of chickens and eggs) and consequently, the amount of profit gained. (Melesse, 2014). The importance to be developed native chicken farms for the rural economies. They are part of a balanced farming system that has vital roles in rural households as a source of high-quality animal protein and emergency cash income and play a significant role in the socio-cultural life of the rural community and woman empowerment (Mapiye et al. 2008). Development of indigenous chicken farms is presented in Table 1.

From Table 1, it appears that the majority (54.17%), 52 of 96 farmer respondents stated that the number of chickens kept this year was decreasing and not increasing in number compared to last year. While those who stated that the number of chickens being raised now increased compared to last year was 45.83%, 44 out of 96. Furthermore, around 99% of the respondents' farmers expressed their willingness

to develop their indigenous chicken farm, while the rest stated that they would not develop.

Table 1 Distribution of respondents based on level of development of indigenous chicken farms

Level of Development Of Indigenous Chicken Farms	Number	Frequency
Decrease	28	29.17
Not Increased	24	25.00
Increase	44	45.83
Total	96	100

Knowledge of Poultry Production Technology

Little knowledge of poultry production technology could be the reason for low layer production among the small scale layer farmers. From a learning perspective, farmers with a technical knowledge background can learn managerial skills via daily operations (Mapiye et al. 2008). Knowledge of farmers in technology poultry production is presented in Table 2.

Table 2 Knowledge scores of technology poultry production for farmers respondents

Knowledge of technology poultry production	Average	
	Score	Category
Genetic improvement and selection	2.15	Inadequate
Improved housing	3.25	Less Adequate
Suitable feeding	2.30	Inadequate
Disease control and prevention	3.50	Less Adequate
Average	2.80	Inadequate

Knowledge of Entrepreneurship

The role the entrepreneur's knowledge of entrepreneurship has played in the process from opportunity discovery to opportunity exploitation and are important determinants for the growth of SMEs. Appropriate entrepreneurship can help improve a firm's overall capability to innovate and competitive advantage for the success of the firm (Molin and Goitom,2013). Knowledge of entrepreneurial of farmer respondents is presented in Table 3.

Table 3. Knowledge scores of entrepreneurship for farmers respondents

Knowledge of Entrepreneurship	Average score	Category
Innovative and Accept new ideas and information	2.40	Inadequate
Make a decision	2.25	Inadequate
Independence and confidence	2.20	Inadequate
Leadership	2.15	Inadequate
Take risks	2.60	Inadequate
Results oriented	3.10	Less adequate
Opportunity seeking	3.40	Less adequate
Average	2.59	Inadequate

From Table 3, it appears that, on average, the knowledge of entrepreneurial in the farmers' respondents was in the inadequate category with an average score of 2.59. The components of entrepreneurship knowledge that are categorized as inadequate, namely innovative and Accept new ideas and information with a score of 2.40, make a decision with a score of 2.25, independence and confidence with a score of 2.20, leadership with a score of 2.15, and take risks with a score of 2.60. While the components of entrepreneurship knowledge that are categorized as less adequate, namely the results-oriented with a score of 3.40, and the opportunity of seeking a score of 3.10.

Knowledge For The Development of Indigenous Chicken Farms

The purpose of this study is to design a knowledge of technology poultry production and entrepreneurship for developing indigenous chicken farms in rural

areas, which can be determined by using Spearman Rank correlation analysis. The results of the analysis of the Spearman Rank correlation can be seen in Table 4.

Table 4 Analysis of spearman rank correlation results

Knowledge Component	Coefficient	
	Correlation (rs)	p-value
Poultry production Technology :		
Genetic improvement and selection	+ 0.143 ns	0.082
Improved housing	+ 0.125 ns	0.075
Suitable feeding	+ 0.194*	0.029
Disease control and prevention	+ 0.206*	0.022
Entrepreneurship:		
Innovative and Accept new ideas and information	+ 0.148 ns	0.075
Make a decision	+ 0.123 ns	0.116
Independence and confidence	+ 0.101 ns	0.064
Leadership	+ 0.113 ns	0.137
Take risks	+ 0.125 ns	0.095
Results oriented	+ 0.182*	0.038
Opportunity seeking	+ 0.226**	0.013

Note: NS = not significant, * = significant at $p < 0.05$, ** Significant at $p < 0.01$

The results of the Spearman correlation analysis in Table 4, are then designed in an empirical as presented in Figure 1

Figure 1 reveals the variable knowledge of technology poultry production, the results of the analysis of the Spearman's rank correlation show that the knowledge of genetic improvement and selection ($r_s = + 0.143$; $p = 0.082 > .05$), and improved housing ($r_s = + 0.125$; $p = 0.075 > .05$) is not significantly correlated with the development of indigenous chicken farms at a 95% confidence level. This finding agreed with the views of Nwarieji et al. (2016) farmers are not generally

knowledgeable about adequate housing and genetic improvement and selection. Furthermore, there is a positive and real relationship between suitable feeding knowledge ($r_s = +0.194$; $p = 0.029 < .05$) and disease control and prevention knowledge ($r_s = +0.206$; $p = 0.022 < .05$) with the development of indigenous chicken farms at a confidence level of 95 %.

Figure 1. Knowledge of technology poultry production and entrepreneurship for the development of indigenous chicken Farms

Knowledge of Poultry Production Technology	
Genetic improvement and selection (Positive)	N= 96, + 0.143 ^{ns}
Improved housing (Positive)	N= 96, + 0.125
Suitable feeding (Positive)	N= 96, + 0.194*
Disease control and prevention (Positive)	N= 96, + 0.206*
Knowledge of Entrepreneurship	
Innovative and accept new ideas and information (Positive)	N=96, + 0.148 ^{ns}
Make a decision (Positive)	N= 96, + 0.123 ^{ns}
Independence and confidence (Positive)	N= 96, + 0.101
Leadership (Positive)	N= 96, + 0.113
Take risks (Positive)	N= 96, + 0.125 ^{ns}
Results oriented (Positive)	N= 96, + 0.182*
Opportunity seeking (Positive)	N= 96, + 0.226**

Development of
indigenous
chicken farms

Although the relationship is weak with a correlation coefficient value of 0.194 and 0.206, the relationship was active/positive which means that if the knowledge of farmers about suitable feeding and disease control and prevention is high then the development of indigenous chicken farms is also high, conversely, if the knowledge of farmers about suitable feeding and disease control and prevention low, the

development of indigenous chicken farms is also low. These findings are in line with the study of Nwarieji et al. (2016) who conclude that feeding skills and disease management skills significantly enhance sustainable productivity in Small Scale Poultry Farming in Orumba North and South of Anambra State. A similar situation was reported by Ershad and Bogra (2016) who examined the technical knowledge of indigenous chicken farming from farmers in Bangladesh and found that close to 78% of farmers had low levels of knowledge, 18% were intermediate, and 4% were good about breeding, feed, housing, and disease control and prevention. Added, Hai et al. (2008) and Alam et al. (2018) observed a high prevalence of farmers' lack of knowledge (70%) in rural Bangladesh about disease and feed. Inadequate feed also reduces the chicken's resistance to diseases and parasites. Murangiri (2016) who examined the challenges affecting the development of indigenous chicken farms in Kenya, found that the main challenges faced by farmers to increased chicken production were the high incidence of diseases, and feeds especially high prices. Justus et al. (2013) noted that technical skills are required in indigenous chicken production specifically in disease control and feed supplementation

For the entrepreneurial knowledge variable, the results of the Spearman correlation analysis for innovative and accept new ideas and information ($r_s = + 0.148$; $p = 0.075 > .05$), make a decision ($r_s = + 0.123$; $p = 0.116 > .05$), independence and confidence ($r_s = + 0.101$; $p = 0.064 > .05$), leadership ($r_s = + 0.113$; $p = 0.137 > .05$), and take risks ($r_s = + 0.125$; $p = 0.095 > .05$) was not significantly correlated with the development of indigenous chicken farms at 95% confidence level. Furthermore, there is a positive and real relationship between knowledge of results-oriented ($r_s = + 0.182$; $p = 0.038 < .05$) and the opportunity-seeking ($r_s = + 0.226$; $p = 0.013 < .05$) with the development of indigenous chicken farms at a 95% confidence level. Although the relationship was weak with a correlation coefficient value of 0.182 and 0.226, the relationship is active/positive, which means that if the knowledge of farmers about the results-oriented and the opportunity-seeking was high, the for development of the indigenous chicken farms was high, conversely, if knowledge of farmers about the results-oriented and the opportunity-seeking was low, the

development of indigenous chicken farms was also low. These results are in line with the opinion of Cooney (2012) that the entrepreneurial skills needed for business development are the ability to results-oriented and identify and exploit business opportunities. This finding extends the previous research by Machmud and Sidharta (2016) who examined the relationship between achievement orientation, discovery business opportunity and business performance in Indonesia, with the study results concluded that achievement orientation and discovery business opportunity significantly influenced business performance.

Conclusion

The results showed that most (54.17%) of the respondents' farmers stated that the level of development of their indigenous chicken had decreased and did not develop. The level of knowledge of the respondents' farmers about the technology of poultry production on average was in the inadequate category with an average score of 2, 80. Likewise, the level of respondent farmer's knowledge about entrepreneurship on average is in the inadequate category with an average score of 2.59. Spearman's rank correlation analysis results for knowledge of the technology of poultry production shows that there was a positive and significant relationship between knowledge of suitable feed and disease control and prevention with the development of indigenous chicken farms. For entrepreneurship, knowledge shows that there was a positive and significant relationship between knowledge of results-oriented and opportunity-seeking with the development of indigenous chicken farms

Considering the important role of suitable feed, and disease control and prevention knowledge for the development of indigenous chicken farms, it is recommended that the government for extension programs should be introduced among farmers to focus more on feed, control and disease prevention along with increased access to formal funding sources and providing subsidies for feed purchases and vaccines. Besides, the importance of the role of results-oriented and opportunity seeking for the development of indigenous chicken farms, it is recommended that the

government must motivate farmers to develop their indigenous chicken farms and provides entrepreneurship training programs for them.

The limitation of this research is that it does not cover many knowledge variables such as social economics, financial, market, and marketing management knowledge, and the data were statistically analyzed. Future studies should attempt to combine statistical analysis and case studies to cover many knowledge variables that can explain the development of indigenous chicken farms. The findings from this study cannot be generalized to all developing countries. The next study must be carried out in several other developing countries.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the Rector of the Hasanuddin University for providing financial assistance for the research on which this paper is based (Grant No. 641/UNA 4.1/Kep/2019) The authors also acknowledge all the stakeholders who participated in collecting data and providing secondary data support. All authors contributed to this work, wrote the main paper, and conducted the observations. All authors discussed the results and implications and commented on the manuscript at all stages. All authors read and approved the final manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript. The authors clarified that there is no conflict of interest with any financial, personal, or other relationships with other people or organization related to the material discussed in the manuscript.

References

- Adetayo K.A, Ademiluyi I.O. and Jennifer, I.O. 2013. Challenges Of Small Poultry Farms In Layer Production In Ibadan Oyo State Nigeria, Global Journal of Science Frontier Research Agriculture and Veterinary Sciences, 13 (2) : 5-11
- Ahuja, V and Sen. A. 2007. Scope And Space For Small-Scale Poultry Production In Developing Countries. Indian institute Of Management, Ahmedabad, India.
- URL

:http://www.fao.org/ag/againfo/home/events/bangkok2007/docs/part3/3_3.pdfAnnor S Y

Alam, M.A, Ali,M.S., Das,N.G and Rahman. M.M. 2018. Present Status Of Rearing Backyard Poultry In Selected Areas Of Mymensingh Distric, Bang. J. Anim. Sci. 2014. 43 (1) : 30-37

Amaratunga, D., Baldry, D., Sarshar, M., & Newton, R. 2002. Quantitative and Qualitative Research In The Built Environment: Application of “Mixed” Research Approach, Work Study, 51(1) : 117-131

Chah,J.M., Irohibe, I.J., Itodo,C.I. and Enwelu,I.A. 2004. Information Needs of Indigenous Chicken Farmers in Enugu State: Implications for Agricultural Extension Service, British Journal

Chang, H.S. 2007. Analysis of the Philippine Chicken Industry: Commercial versus Backyard Sectors, Asian Journal of Agriculture and Development, 3 (1) :1-16

Ciamarraa , U.P., Tasciottib ,L., Ottec , J., and Zezza, A. 2011. Livestock Assets, Livestock Income And Rural Households Cross-Country Evidence From Household Surveys, ESA Working Paper No. 11-17 July 2011, Agricultural Development Economics Division Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations

Cooney, T.M.2012. Entrepreneurship Skills for Growth-Orientated Businesses, Report for the Workshop on ‘Skills Development for SMEs and Entrepreneurship, OECD Copenhagen 28 November 2012

Ershad, K.I and Bogra, S. 2016. Family Poultry Production Accounts In Selected Poultry Production System in Bangladesh, Global Journal of Poultry Farming and Vaccination, 4 (9) : 284-291

Hai, M.A., Mahiuddin, M, Howlider, M.A.R., and Yeasmin, T. 2008. Pattern and problem of poultry consumption by the rural and urban families of Fulbariaupazila. J. Bangladesh Agric. Uni. 6 : 307-313

- Hidayat, C and S. A. Asmarasari, S.A. 2015. Native Chicken Production in Indonesia: A Review, *JurnalPeternakan Indonesia (JPI)*, 17 (1) : 1-11
- Ilham,N.2016. KebijakanPemerintahterhadap Usaha Unggas Skala Kecil dan Kesehatan Lingkungan di Indonesia, *WARTAZOA* , 25 (2) : 95-105
- Inyang, E.B AndEko, P.M. 2015. Correlates Analysis Of Poultry Entrepreneurial Capacity Development Among Young Adults In Akwa Ibom State, Nigeria, *International Journal of Academic Research and Reflection*, 3 (6) : 57-66
- Jani, S.A.M. 2011. The Role Of Knowledge In Internationalization Of Small And Medium Sized Enterprise, Master thesis, Umea Universitet
- Justus, O., Owuorb, G and Bebec, B.O. 2013. Management Practices and Challenges In Smallholder Indigenous Chicken Production In Western Kenya, *Journal of Agriculture and Rural Development in the Tropics and Subtropics*, 114 (1) : 51-58
- Juárez, L.E.V., de Lema, D.G.P., andGuzmán, G.M. 2016) Management of Knowledge, Innovation and Performance In SMEs, *Interdisciplinary Journal of Information, Knowledge, and Management*, 11: 141-176
- Lopez Jr., L.V., Lambio, A.L., Vega, R.S.A and Anna De Guia, P.O. 2014. Management Practices Of Native Chicken (*Gallus GallusDomesticus* Linn.) Production In Palawan, Philippines, *Phillip J Vet Anim Sci* 2014, 40 (2) : 109-120
- Madjid,N.C. 2017. Poverty Reduction In Indonesia: Obstacles and Solutions, URL : [Igsci.Pasca.Ugm.Ac.Id/V2.0/Assets/Files/Igsc-08-033-Noor%20cholis%20madjid.Pdf](https://igsci.pasca.ugm.ac.id/V2.0/Assets/Files/Igsc-08-033-Noor%20cholis%20madjid.Pdf)
- Machmud, S andSidharta, I. 2016. Entrepreneurial Motivation and Business Performance of SMEs in the SUCI Clothing Center, Bandung, Indonesia, *DLSU Business & Economics Review*, 25 (2): 63-78
- Mappigau,P, Lestari, V.S and Jusni. 2019. Model Knowledge-Based Resources Bagi Pengembangan Usaha TernakAyam Buras Di Pedesaan, *LaporanPenelitian, LP2M Unhas*

- Melesse, A. 2014. Significance of scavenging chicken production in the rural community of Africa for enhanced food security, *World's Poultry Science Journal*, 70 (3) : 593-606
- Mcleod, A. 2009. Social Impacts Of Structural Change In The Poultry Sector. *Proceedings Of The International Conference Poultry In The Twenty-First Century: Avian Influenza And Beyond*, Held 5-7 November 2007, Bangkok, Thailand
- Molin, M and Goitom, M. 2013. The Entrepreneurs' Prior Knowledge of Entrepreneurship, Master thesis, department of Economics and Technology, Halmstad University
- Muhiye, M.G. 2007. Characterization Of Smallholder Poultry Production and Marketing System Of Dale, Wonsho And Loka Abaya Weredas Of Southern Ethiopia, M.Sc. Thesis, Hawassa University, Ethiopia
- Munyaka, F.G. 2010. Factors Affecting The Performance of Small and Medium Scale Poultry Farming Enterprises In Karuri, Kenya , *Research Journal of Finance and Accounting*, 6 (19) : 110-130
- Narrod C., Marites Tiongco M. And Costales A. 2008. Global Poultry Sector Trends and External Drivers for Structural Change. *Proceedings of the International Conference Poultry in the Twenty-first Century: Avian Influenza And Beyond*, Held 5-7 November 2007, Bangkok, Thailand
- Nwarieji, F.E., Obi, B.C and Tochukwu, E. 2016. The Study of Entrepreneurial Skills Required By Small Scale Poultry Farmers In Orumba North and South Local Government Area of Anambra State, Nigeria, *African Journal of Agricultural Research*, 12(33) : 2586-2597
- Pozdnakova, A. 2012. Knowledge Management And Foresight Elements For Small And Medium Enterprises, Master thesis, Business Development and Internationalization, UMEA Universitet
- Pramudyati, S. 2009. Petunjuk Teknis Beternak Ayam Buras, GtZ Merang Reed Pilot Project Bekerjasama Dengan Balai Pengkajian Teknologi Pertanian (Bptp) Sumatera Selatan 2009

- Putri, S.C., Suwandari,A dan Mustapit. 2014. AnalisisPendapatan dan Kontribusi Usaha Ternak Ayam Buras Terhadap Pendapatan Keluarga serta Prospek Pengembangannya, Berkala Ilmiah PERTANIAN, p. 1-10
- Rahman, S. M., Roy, B. K., Shahriar, S. I. M. and Nipa, F. Y. 2015. Poultry Industry in Bangladesh: Issues and Challenges. International Journal of Business, Management and Social Research, 02(01) : 71-79
- Siyaya, B.J andMasuku, M.B. 2013. Determinants of Profitability of Indigenous Chickens in Swaziland, Business and Economic Research, 3 (2) : 205-217
- Suryanadan Hasbianto,S. 2009.Usaha TaniAyam Buras Di Indonesia: Permasalahan Dan Tantangan ,JurnalLitbangPertanian, 27(3) : 75-83
- Thieme, O., Sonaiya, E.B. Rota, A., Alders, R.G., Saleque, M.A and De' Besi, G. 2014. Family Poultry Development, Issues, Opportunities And Constraints, Fao Animal Production And Health Working Paper , Food And Agriculture Organization Of The United Nations Rome, 2014
- Van Eekeren, N., Mass, A., Saakamp, H. W. and Verschur, M. 2006. Small Scale Chicken Production. Agrodok 4, 4th Revised Edition, Agromisa Foundation and CTA, WageningenMcLeod, A. 2007. Social Impacts Of Structural Change In The Poultry Sector, Conference on Poultry In The 21st Century, 5-7 November 2007, Bangkok